

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/866,429	06/23/97	HEMIRA	T 108341-127-1

CUHMAN, BARRY AND CUHMAN  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP OF  
PTLISBURY MADISON AND SUTRO  
1100 NEW YORK AVE NW NINTH FL EAST TOWER  
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

MM27/1217

EXAMINER

WILLE, D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22314	12717/99

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	<b>08/866,129</b>	<b>Uemura et al.</b>
Examiner	Group Art Unit	
<b>Douglas Wille</b>	<b>2814</b>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Douglas Wille

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Raymond Mah

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 8, 1999

Type:  Telephonic      Personal (copy is given to      applicant      applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

\_\_\_\_\_

Agreement was reached.  X was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed:

Nakamura et al. and Manabe et al.

\_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant presented arguments against rejection of claims and Examiner presented reasoning behind the rejections.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

  
**DOUGLAS WILLE**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 2814**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.